CASEWORKER SERVICE NEED AND AVAILABILITY SURVEY



## Mississippi Department of Human Services Division of Family and Children Services **Data Collection Work Groups**

#### **Procedure Guide**

### Introduction

The Mississippi Department of Human Services (MDHS) requested the assistance of the Child Welfare League of America (CWLA) in assessing policies, procedures, organizational structure, caseload, workflow, and case management issues with a view toward enhanced capacity to serve children and families. During the past several months CWLA has reviewed policy documents and conducted a workload analysis. In order to establish more detailed knowledge of the MDHS child welfare system, specifically the Division of Family and Children Services (DFCS), it is essential to gather information from staff that has direct influence on service delivery to children and families. Several methods have been identified to gather information relevant to this goal. Focus groups with caseworkers and supervisors will be conducted, as well as group interviews with Regional Directors. The other method of information gathering is through DFCS work groups. This document provides a description of DFCS work groups, their role and responsibility, and procedures for gathering information

### DFCS Work Group Structure

Regional Directors have lead responsibility for collecting information from staff in their districts. The Regional Director's role is that of coordinator of information gathering activities. Area Social Work Supervisors, using tools developed by CWLA, will be asked to work directly with staff that has access to case records and other related documents.

#### Area of Focus; Service Delivery

The assessment of service needs, identification of relevant services, monitoring of service utilization, and the creation of a pool of services are critical to the achievement of desired child and family outcomes. The information that the work groups will gather will focus on service selection, availability, and accessibility. Area Social Work Supervisors will coordinate the gathering of information from their randomly selected sample of foster care staff.

The following topic areas will be explored:

- Placement,
- Mental health,
- Substance abuse,
- Parenting/family skills building, and
- Support services

CWLA consultants will conduct analysis of collected data.

### Area of Focus: Case Planning

Case plans serve as blueprints for service delivery. Ideally, they are based on a comprehensive family and child assessment. Additionally case plans should be developed with the participation of the family, age-appropriate children, relevant family members and other professionals, as appropriate. The case plan identifies goals and objectives for services and expected outcomes, including the permanency goal. Regional Directors and Area Social Work Supervisors are asked to randomly select a 10 percent sample of foster care cases in their respective regions. The issues to be explored include:

Child and family assessments
Initial placement decisions
Case plan development
Permanency plan identification
Subsequent placement decisions
Re-evaluation of permanency plans

The most recent case plan from each of the cases in the 10 percent sample will be forwarded to the CWLA consultants for review and analysis.

#### Use of Collected Data and Information

Information and data gathered through the processes of staff case surveys and case plan review will be included in a larger report providing a general overview and assessment of the DFCS child welfare system. The information that specific individuals provide will be used in a final report to DFCS. However, individual responses will not be noted specifically, but will become part of a larger pool of data.

**住機関係を発展した** 

### Mississippi Department of Human Services Division of Family and Children Services

### Staff Foster Care Case Survey Instrument

### Instructions:

The Area Social Work Supervisor in collaboration with selected caseworkers should use this document to gather information. Responses should be recorded on the instrument using the prompts for each question. One copy of this instrument should be maintained with the District Director and the original forwarded to CWLA. Responses to the questions should be based on the caseworker's knowledge of the families and children in their caseload.

# Section 1.0 Demographic Information 1,1 County: 1.2 District 1.3 Supervisor 1.4 Caseworker 1.5 Number of assigned foster care cases 1.6 Survey Date m/d/y Section 2.0 Placement Resources In what type of placements are children in your caseload? Check all that apply and indicate the number of children beside each type of placement. ☐ Family foster home (long-term) ☐ Emergency family foster home ☐ Group home Residential treatment ☐ Independent living ☐ Kinship care home/relative placement ☐ Hospital ☐ Shelter Other (Please specify) What are the top three factors that influence the selection of a placement resource?

☐ Documented assessment of the child's needs

	☐ Documented family assessment
	☐ Location of resource
	☐ Resource availability
	☐ Court order for specific placements
	☐ External reports of documented need
	Other (Please specify)
How o	lo you determine the level of service? Please explain.
	· ·
When	children have required a change in placement, what have been the primary reasons? Check no more than two options.
	Move to a less restrictive setting (e.g. residential treatment to foster home setting)
	☐ Placement with a relative
	☐ Custody to a relative
	☐ Placement in a juvenile justice setting
	☐ Runaway behavior
	☐ Behavioral management issues
	☐ Caregiver or placement facility request
	☐ Child's request
	☐ Move to independent living
	Other (please specify)
From 1	
110111	your experience, check three of the most frequently needed placement resources. Check no more than three.
	☐ Family foster homes (long-term)
	Emergency family foster homes
	☐ Adoptive family homes
•	Group homes
	Residential treatment
	☐ Independent living
	Other (Please specify)
How f	requently do you have to choose an alternative placement because the optimal
	choice for a child is not available? Select one option.
	Never
	Sometimes, but not frequently
	Frequently (50% or more of the time)
	Cannot estimate/no answer

How often do you have to place a child farther from his family and community than you would like because a closer placement is not available?

Check only one option	
Never	
Some time, but not frequently	
Frequently (50% or more of the time)	
Cannot estimate/no answer	
Other (Please specify)	
Section 3.0 Mental Health Services	
What mental health service is most frequently	y requested? Choose no more than three
options.	•
Psychological assessment or testing	
Psychotherapy	
Family therapy	
Individual therapy	
Group therapy	•
Psychiatric examination	
Psychiatric hospitalization	
Behavior modification	
Counseling	
Other (Please specify)	
children or families? Available means within the time needed. Readily available Somewhat available Not available Other (Please explain)	s that the service exists and can be secured
easily reached.	you identified in 3.1 are available, are they Accessible means that the service can be
Yes	
No	
Not sure	
Other (Please explain)	
What mental health services would you like <i>Check no more than three options</i> .  Psychological assessment or testing Psychotherapy Family therapy	to see more of for children and families?
Individual therapy	
Group therapy	
Psychiatric examination	•
Psychiatric hospitalization	
	,,

	Behavior modification Residential treatment Counseling Other (Please specify)
Sect	ion 4.0 Substance Abuse
: : : : : : : :	What is the most frequently requested service related to substance abuse? Choose no more than three options.  Screening (child)  Screening (adult)  Assessment (child)  Assessment (adult)  Out-patient treatment (adult)  Out-patient treatment (child)  in-patient treatment (adult)  n-patient treatment (child)  other (Please specify)
4.2	To what degree are the most frequently requested services available in the location of children or families? Available means that the service exists. Readily available Somewhat available Not available Other (Please explain)
4,3	If the most frequently requested services that you identified in 4.1 are available, are they accessible to children and families? Accessible means that the service can be easily reached.
Yes	can be easily reached.
No	
Not s	sure
Othe	r (Please explain)
S	What substance abuse services would you like to see more of for children and families? Check no more than three options.  creening (child) creening (adult)
	assessment (child)
	Assessment (adult) Out-patient treatment (adult)
	Out-patient treatment (adult)
	n-patient treatment (adult)
	n-patient treatment (child)
	ther (Please specify)

# 5.0 Physical and Dental Health Services

5.1	What barriers exist in obtaining medical examinations or assessments for children? Check no more than three barriers  Availability of resources Accessibility of resources Delays due to waiting lists Financial or insurance availability Transportation No barriers exist
	Other (Please specify)
5.2	What barriers exist in obtaining medical treatment for children when a condition
	has been diagnosed? Check no more than three barriers.
	Availability of resources
	Accessibility of resources
	Delays due to waiting lists
	Financial or insurance availability
	Transportation
•	Parental consent
	Need for court order in absence of parental consent
	No barriers exist
	Other (Please specify)
5.3	What barriers exist in obtaining dental assessments or examinations for children?  Check no more than three barriers.  Availability of resources  Accessibility of resources  Delays due to waiting lists  Financial or insurance availability
	Transportation
•	No barriers exist
	Other (Please specify)
5.4	What barriers exist in obtaining dental treatment for children when a condition
. *	has been diagnosed? Check no more than three barriers.
	Availability of resources
	Accessibility of resources
	Delays due to waiting lists
	Financial or insurance availability
	Transportation
	Parental consent
	Court order in absence of parental consent
	No barriers exist
•	Other (Please specify)

<b>6.0 P</b> a 6.1	orenting Skills Of the foster care cases, in how many of the families was parenting/family skill
0.1	building identified as a need? Check only one option.
	(fill in the number) Unable to determine
	None
	Other (Please explain)
6.2	What area of parenting skill building is most frequently identified as a need?
•	Check the top three.
	Discipline
	Understanding age-appropriate behavior
•	Setting limits
	Building child self esteem
	Understanding child development
	Advocacy
	Safety and nurturing of young children
	Creating child-friendly environments
	Not applicable, the need was not identified in any cases
	Other (Please specify)
6.3.	To what degree are programs available to address the most frequently identified area of need in the location of the parent? Available means that the service or program exists.  Readily available  Somewhat available  Not available  Other (Please explain)
6.4	If programs are available to address the areas of needs that you identified in 6.2, are they accessible to parents? Accessible means that the program can be easily reached.
Yes	
No	
Not su	re
Other (	(Please explain)
6.5	What areas of parenting skill building would you like to see more available for
0.0	parents? Check no more than three options,
	Discipline
	Understanding age-appropriate behavior
	Setting limits
	Building child self esteem
	Understanding child development
	Advocacy
	Safety and nurturing of young children
	Creating child-friendly environments
5.	Not applicable, no opinion
	tiot approacte, no obinion

	Other (Please specify)
	What factors do you consider in determining successful completion of a parenting program or service? Check all that apply.  Attendance
	Level of participation
	Observed transfer of learning
	Parent feedback
	Progress reports from the program
	Other (Please specify)
	Of the factors listed in 6.6 what do you consider the most important? Check no
	more than two.
	Attendance
	Level of participation
	Observed transfer of learning
	Parent feedback
	Progress reports from the program Other (Please specify)
	Other (Flease specify)
Sı	upport Services
	Based on your experience with your current cases, what support services do
	families most frequently request?
	Transportation to services
	Transportation for family visits
	Homemaker
:	Advocacy with other systems (e.g. health and education) Other (Please specify)
	Which services are available to families? Check all that apply.
	Transportation to services
	Transportation for family visits
	Homemaker
	Advocacy with other systems (e.g. health and education)
	Other (Please specify)
	Does your office have Social Work Aides?
	Yes
	No
	Do not know
	How do you and Social Work Aides coordinate case information when you share
	the same case? Please describe.

-				
there anything else tervices?	hat you wou	ald like to sh	are concern	ing placement
ivices:				

Thank you for taking the time to participate in this survey and sharing your experience.

CASEWORKER AND SUPERVISOR FOCUS GROUP INTERVIEW GUIDES

# Mississippi Department of Human Services Division of Family and Children Services

# Focus Group Registration Form

1.	Name	(optional)
2.	Male Female	
3.	Job Title/Position Caseworker Area Social Work Super	visor
4.	I work directly with childr I recruit and train resource I license resource family I supervise caseworkers	ce families
5.	Years of education BSW Other bachelor's degree MSW Other master's degree Other (Please specify)	
6.	Years with the agency Less than one year One year Two to five years Six to ten years More than ten years	

# Mississippi Department of Human Services Division of Family and Children Services

Focus Group
Statement of Informed Consent
I,, agree to participate in this focus group being conducted being from the child Welfare League of America (CWLA). CWLA has been retained by the Department of Human Services to conduct this study.
The purpose of the study is to gain insight into factors that influence how children in out-of-home care and their families receive services through the Division of Family and Children Services.
I understand that:  The focus group will last no more than two and one half hours. My participation is voluntary and that if I wish to leave I may do so at any time without giving a reason or explanation. My withdrawal from the group, if I choose to do so, will have no affect on my relationship with DFCS. The facilitators will take notes. These materials will be kept confidential. Names of individuals in the focus group will be kept confidential. A report summarizing the results of this and other focus groups will be presented to DFCS management. Participant names will not be used in the report. It is expected that I will not repeat anything heard during this group, outside the group.
The CWLA facilitators have offered to answer questions that I have about the focus group and what I am expected to do.
I have read and understand this information and I agree to take part in this focus group.
Today's Date Your Signature

# Mississippi Department of Human Services Division of Family and Children Services

Focus Group

## Facilitator Agenda

## Welcome and Introductions

Welcome group and thank them for their presence. Introduction of facilitator and recorder. Participant introductions

## **Purpose and Topic Overview**

Review purpose statement (gather information to increase understanding) Review general issues (resources, permanency, caseloads, supports)

### **Provide Guidelines**

Notes will be taken during the session.

Anonymity is guaranteed in the recording, analysis, and reporting of the

There are no wrong answers, just different points of view.

Free to address remarks to each other, not just the facilitator.

One person speaks at a time.

Facilitator's role is to ask questions and listen.

#### **Questioning Period**

List questions here

#### Summary

Brief summary of main points Group comments, amendments, corrections

#### Closure

Anything missed? Questions from the participants Information concerning feedback (e.g. how results will be shared) Thank you to participants

# Mississippi Department of Human Services Division of Family and Children Services

Focus Group

Participant Agenda

Welcome and Introductions

**Purpose and Topic Overview** 

Guidelines

**Questioning Period** 

**Summary Discussion** 

Wrap Up

# Mississippi Department of Human Services Division of Family and Children Services

Focus Group Questions and Reporting Form
Foster Care Social Workers

Focus Group Information

•
·

# **Responses to Questions**

Q 1: What factors are considered in selecting shelter care as a placement option for a child.

Summary/Key Points	Notable Quotes
	,
·	
·.	•
,	

Comments/Observations			
		 	<del></del>
			•
	•		

Summary/Key Points	the selection of other placements? Notable Quotes
	·
,	
,	
nments/Observations	

Q 3: How are the service needs of Summary/Key Points		Notabe Quotes
	.	
	l,	
	ļ	
	.	
	İ	
·		
Comments/Observations		
•		
·		
		•
. <i>'</i>		

Q 4: Describe how you develop Individual Service Plans.

	Summary/Key Points	Notable Quotes
,		
		·
		7
	·	
	•	
	•	•
	•	

Comments/Observations	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·

Q 5: What barriers exist that prevent or delay the provision of identified services for children and families?

COLATORS IOI	services for children and families?			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		
Տար	nmary/Key Poir	its.		Notable	Quotes	
			1			
			1			
			İ			
	•					
, .						
			1	•		
	• •			•	*	
			1			
			1			
					•	
			•			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Comments/O	)bservations	 	 • •	
·		 		
1				
	•			
·	•		•	•

Q 6: To what extent is concurrent planning practiced? If it is not practice, why not?

why not?	
why not? Summary/Key Points	Notable Quotes
	7.13.7
	·
	•
·	,
	Ì
	· ·
	•
	•
·	
'	
•	
L	

 Comments/Observations				
,			,	
			,	
				· ·
	•			

Q 7: What can DFCS do to more consistently achieve safe and timely permanency for children?

permanency for children?	
permanency for children? Summary/Key Points	Notable Quotes
_	
,	
	·
	·
	·
·	
1	
<u>.</u>	
<b>i</b>	
h	

Comments/Observations	5		
,	•		
		,	
· ·			

Q 8: From your perspective, are casel you believe influence high caseloads?	oads too high? If so, what factors do
Summary/Key Points	Notable Quotes
Comments/Observations	

Q 9: What additional supports would be useful to you in carrying out your job?

Job?		
	Summary/Key Points	Notable Quotes:
1		
1		
		·
٠.		
ļ		
,		
1	İ	
		•
-1	·	
	i	
1		
1		
	·	
ļ		
		}
	į	
j		

Comments/Observations		
·		
. ,		

# Mississippi Department of Human Services Division of Family and Children Services

Focus	Group	Questions	and Repe	orting Fe	orm		
			Area	Social <sup>1</sup>	Work	Superv	isors

Focus	Group	Inform	nation
* 0000	OYOUP	TITELLIA	TOTAL

Date of Focus Group				,
Location of Focus Group			<del></del>	
Number and Description of Participants		<del></del>		
Facilitator		······		
Recorder	-de-like the fide value as			· ·

# **Responses to Questions**

# Q 1: Describe your role in the supervision of caseworkers.

Summary/Key Points	Notable Quotes
·	
	,
• •	

Comments/Observations			
	-	 	

# Q 2: What barriers exist in the recruitment and retention of staff?

	Summary/Key	/Points		Notable Quotes	
	•				
	·				•
•-					
		-		•	
		:			
	,				
			•		
•					
•					
		÷			
		•			
Comments	/Observations			•	
		<del></del>			

Comments/Observations				
•				
	•			
		•		
•		,		
		•		
		•		

Q 3: From your perspective, are caseloads too high? If so, what factors are responsible?

Summary/Key-Points	Netable Quotes
·	
	`
·	
•	
	,
·	
	·
;·	

Comments/Observations			
		•	
		•	
f	•		
			-
	6	•	
	•		

# Q 4: How are service needs of children and families determined?

Summary/Key Points	Notable Quotes
·	
	·
_	
	•
•	•
Comments/Observations	
	•

# Q 5: What barriers exist that prevent or delay the provision of identified services for children and families?

	e::::::::::Summary/Key Roints.	Notable Quotes
	·	
	•	
أ		
	<u>.</u>	
	·	
	·	
1		
L		

Comments/Observations		
·		
	e e	

# Q 6: What can DFCS do to more consistently achieve safe and timely permanency for children in out-of-home care?

Summary/Key Points	Notable Quotes
	·
·	
·	

Comments/Obser	rvations			
			,	
	•			
		·		-

# Q 7: What additional supports would be useful to you in carrying out your job?

Summary/Key Points	Notable Quotes
·	·
,	
	•
	·
	,
·	
·	

Comments/Observations	*	

3.0 Administrative Supports
-----------------------------

- 3.1 Describe the supports, including data and management tools, that you receive from the state office?
- 3.2 What supports, including data and management tools from the state office would be useful to you in carrying out your job?
- 3.3 What would you describe as agency strengths?
- 3.4 What would you describe as areas of need?

Thank you for taking the time to discuss these issues.

<sup>\*</sup>An administrative support refers to assistance and guidance to Regional Offices by the State Office.

CONSULTANT VITAS

### **CURRICULUM VITA**

December 2005

Name:

Sue Duvall Steib

Address:

25125 Bickham Road Jackson, Louisiana 70748

Phone:

(225) 654-9347

**Current Position:** 

Director, Research to Practice Child Welfare League of America 440 First Street NW, Third Floor

Washington, DC 20001

Education

Ph.D.: Social Work: Social policy and research; Minor: Educational Research

Louisiana State University, 2001

MSW:

Major: Administration; community organization

Louisiana State University, 1983.

BA:

Major: Sociology

Louisiana State University, 1970.

#### Licensure & Certifications

- Licensed Clinical Social Worker, License #2227
- Board Approved Supervisor, Louisiana Board of Certified Social Work Examiners
- Academy of Certified Social Workers

### Professional Affiliations, Appointments & Awards

- Catholic Community Services Adoption Advisory Board, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, 2001-2004
- Distinguished Alumni Award, Louisiana State University School of Social Work, 2004
- Louisiana Law Institute, Children's Code Advisory Committee, 2000 to present
- Louisiana Children's Cabinet, Comprehensive Planning Advisory Committee, 1999-2000
- National Association of Social Workers
- · Society for Social Work and Research

Sue D. Steib, page 2

#### Professional Experience

### Child Welfare League of America

September 2001 to present Director of Research to Practice

CWLA's Research to Practice (R2P) initiative endeavors to bridge the gap between the research and practice communities by conducting systematic reviews of the empirical literature across the broad spectrum of child welfare and related fields, and synthesizing this information, along with its implications for practice and policy, into a format easily accessed by practice professionals. R2P prepares annotated bibliographies and research briefs on key topics related to child welfare practice, conducts workshops on evidencebased practices at the conferences of CWLA and other human services organizations throughout the country, and provides on-site consultation and technical assistance to agencies undertaking evidence-based practice change.

### Louisiana Department of Social Services, Office of Community Services:

Child Welfare Program Director November, 1997 to September, 2001

Responsible for direction of planning, budgeting, resource and policy development, for the public child welfare programs in Louisiana. Served as primary legislative liaison for the agency in child welfare program issues. Supervised the administrators of the child protection, family services, foster care, and adoption programs who, along with 14 subordinate professional staff, provided functional supervision to approximately 1100 caseworkers, supervisors, and regional level staff throughout the state.

Administrator, Foster Care and Adoption May 1991 to November, 1997

Responsible for the planning and management of a budget of over \$60,000,000 annually. policy and program development. Duties included negotiation and management of contracts, coordination with other public and private agencies, provision of clinical consultation to field staff in exceptionally difficult cases, and review and analysis of legislation affecting services to children and families. Directly supervised three support staff and six masters level social workers assigned to program management.

Sue D. Steib, page 3

Administrator, Child Protection and Family Services February, 1987 to May, 1991

Responsible for the planning and management of a budget of approximately \$9,000,000 and development of programs, policy, and resources. Duties included negotiation and monitoring of contracts with private service providers, provision of clinical consultation in referred cases, provision of input concerning legislation, coordination with other public and private agencies. Supervised a staff of five masters level social workers assigned to program management and two support staff.

Social Services Supervisor May, 1980 to February, 1987

Supervised casework staff (bachelors and masters level) providing assessment, counseling and case management services to families to address issues of child maltreatment and family conflict and in placement decision making and attainment of permanency for children in foster care. Included direct counseling with families in problem solving and case planning, relinquishment of parental rights, dependency and child custody and permanency issues. Work with the legal system included preparation of cases and provision of testimony in matters of child custody, placement, development of permanent plans for children in foster care, and termination of parental rights.

<u>Caseworker</u> August,1970 to May,1980

Provided casework services to children and families in child protection, child welfare home-based services, foster care, and adoption.

#### **Presentations & Publications**

Presentations in Evidence-Based Practice:

Strengths-Based, Family-Centered Practice: Applying the Evidence. Child Welfare Professional Development Academy, Norfolk State University, Norfolk, VA., December, 13-14, 2005.

The Child Welfare Workforce: What Research Does (and Doesn't) Tell Us About Recruitment and Retention, Child Welfare Workforce Development and Workplace Enhancement Institute: Knowledge Development and Application, U.S. Children's Bureau, Arlington, VA, October 24, 2005.

### Sue D. Steib, page 4

Effective Interventions in Child Maltreatment. American Professional Society on the Abuse of Children, National Colloquium, New Orleans, LA., June 17, 2005.

Chronic Neglect: Leveraging What We Know to Keep Children Safe. National Conference on Child Abuse & Neglect, Boston, MA, April 20, 2005...

Evidence-Based Practice: What is it and What Does it Really Mean for Agencies and Practitioners? Child Welfare League of America National Conference, Washington, DC, March 11, 2005.

Using What We Know: Making Research Work for Kids & Families. Child Welfare League of America National Conference, February 28, 2004.

Evidence-Based Practice Across the Continuum: Engagement & Assessment of Families. Child Welfare League of America National Conference, Washington, DC, February 29, 2004.

Evidence-Based Practice Across the Continuum: Working with Siblings. Child Welfare League of America National Conference, Washington, DC, February 29, 2004.

Bringing Research to Life in Child Welfare. Workshop presentation at the Society for Social Work and Research National Conference, New Orleans, LA, January 19, 2004

Using Evidence to Make Practice Decisions in Tough Times. National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges National Symposium, Kansas City, MO, September 16, 2003.

Child Safety: What the Evidence Supports. National Conference on Child Abuse & Neglect, St. Louis, MO, April 1, 2003.

What's So Special About Specialized Foster Care? Foster Family Treatment Association's 16<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference, Chicago, Illinois, July 24, 2002.

Exploring the Field: What is Research Saying About Workforce Issues? CWLA Finding Better Ways Conference, St. Louis, Missouri, June 11, 2002.

"Turning Research into Meaningful Programs and Practices for Children and Families". Child Welfare League of America National Conference, Washington, DC, March 8, 2002.

"Self-efficacy Correlates of Judicial Rating of Child Welfare Caseworker Performance". Society for Social Work and Research, San Diego, California, January 18, 2002.

### Sue D. Steib, page 5

"Reframing Child Welfare and the Courts: A Statewide Study with Implications For Education and Practice". Ellett, A. and Steib, S. Paper presented at The Annual Program Meeting of the Council on Social Work Education, New York City, N.Y., February, 28, 2000.

"A Statewide Study of Child Welfare Practice and the Courts: Lessons Learned and Their Implications". Ellett, A. and Steib, S. Paper presented at The Society for Social Work and Research Annual Conference, Charleston, S. C., January 30, 2000.

Academic Journal Publications

Blome, W.W. & Steib, S. (in press). Strategies for empowering the child welfare administrator facing class action litigation. *Journal of Public Child Welfare*.

Blome, W.W. & Steib, S. (2004). Whatever the problem, the answer is "evidence-based practice" – or is it? *Child Welfare*, 83(6), 611-615.

Blome, W.W. & Steib, S. (2004). Like musical chairs? Become a child welfare worker. Child Welfare, 83(4), 381-384.

Ellett, A.S. & Steib, S. (2005). Child welfare and the courts: A statewide study with implications for professional education and practice. Research in Social Work Practice, 15(5), 339-352.

Steib, S. & Blome, W.W. (2004). Fatal error: The missing ingredient in child welfare reform, part II. *Child Welfare*, 83(1).

Steib, S. & Blome, W.W. (2003). Fatal error: The missing ingredient in child welfare reform, part I. *Child Welfare*, 82(6), 747-750.

Charlene Ingram, MSW 49 Aberdeen Drive Erial, New Jersey 08081

#### **EDUCATION**

MSW 1974 BA 1965 University of Pennsylvania, School of Social Work

Bennett College, Greensboro, North Carolina

#### EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE

#### 1997 to present

Senior Consultant, Child Welfare League of America, providing consultation and technical assistance to public agencies and governmental bodies in a broad range of child welfare program and management areas, specializing in review and analysis of program process and procedures. Project responsibilities have included:

Evaluation of child welfare systems; Alameda County, California, Board of Supervisors.

Development of child welfare practice standards; State of Pennsylvania Department of Public Welfare;

Technical assistance for Child and Family Service Review statewide assessment, Pennsylvania Department of Public Welfare,

Consultation to litigation team, Georgia Department of Family and Children Services

Review of child welfare programs, Westchester County, New York,

Technical assistance for kinship care policy development, Saskatchewan, Canada, Department of Social Services, Family and Youth Services Division

Review of child welfare policies and practice; Somerset County, Pennsylvania, Department of Social Services;

Training for county social services staff in preparation for implementation of New York's: SACWIS system for Andersen Consulting;

Review of child protective service and practices in Montgomery County, Maryland, Department of Social Services;

Development of Kinship Care standards and practice materials for the Child Welfare League of America.

#### 1995-1997

Social Work Administrator, Philadelphia Department of Human Services, Children and Youth Division. Plan and coordinate community and family foster home development activities to facilitate child welfare reform through the Family to Family Initiative (national effort supported by the Annie E Casey Foundation); lead responsibility for building collaborative partnerships with nine private child welfare service providers; project manager for funding to private providers implementing Family to Family reforms; chair the Family to Family Steering Committee made-up of private child welfare provider mid-level managers; administrative responsibility for a staff of eleven social workers and two supervisors.

Administered social service delivery to families through three supervisors and seventeen 1990 - 94 social workers whose responsibilities included the assessment and service planning for families experiencing child abuse and neglect issues.

> Responsible for the administration of the in-house foster care program, which included the recruitment, and retention of foster families, training program development, and the monitoring of foster homes for regulatory compliance issues and family service plan requirements. Provided direction and guidance for three first line supervisors who oversaw the direct service provided to foster families by fifteen social workers.

> Directed Juvenile Court related activities through two supervisors responsible for twelve social workers and two support staff. Formulated and coordinated court procedures with city solicitors and court personnel; trained new staff on court procedures and case responsibilities.

- Social Work Supervisor, Philadelphia Department of Human Services, Children and 1981 - 90 Youth Division. Coordinated Juvenile Court activities for social service staff; consulted with City Solicitors on Juvenile Court procedures; served as a liaison between Juvenile Court personnel and Department staff concerning problem issues. Supervised a unit of five social workers who performed assessment and planning for new cases.
- Social Worker, Philadelphia Department of Human Services, Children and Youth 1969 - 81 Division. Represented the agency at the Bar of Court in Delinquent and Dependent Courts: prepared referrals made by judges and probation officers; worked directly with children in foster care and their foster parents; executed initial intake of new families referred for services, investigated reports of abuse and neglect.
- Mental Health Worker, Philadelphia State Hospital. Screened patients for participation 1967 - 79 in a group program; designed and developed activity programs for chronic institutionalized patients, coordinated discharge planning with the social service department, conducted counseling groups.

#### ORGANIZATIONS – AWARDS

Nominated for the 1993 Greater Philadelphia First Public Management Award

#### PUBLICATIONS

Ingram, C. (1996). Kinship Care: From Last Resort to First Choice. Child Welfare. Vol. LXXXV (5) pp.

550-566